JOHN LATHRAM.

[To accompany bill H. R. No. 139.]

March 5, 1840.

Mr. Speenrod, from the Committee on Revolutionary Pensions, submitted the following

REPORT:

The Committee on Revolutionary Pensions, to whom was referred the petition of John Lathram, agree to the report made to the 25th Congress and 3d session, and report a bill.

JANUARY 2, 1839.

The Committee on Revolutionary Pensions, to whom was referred a resolution instructing them to inquire into the expediency of restoring the name of John Lathram, of the State of Virginia, to the pension-roll, have had the same under consideration, and report:

That the petitioner states he entered the service as a militiaman, a short time previous to the capture of Cornwallis at Yorktown, under the command of Captain Ballard, of Stafford county, Virginia; was present at the battle of the aforesaid place; after which, he was detailed to guard the prisoners to Winchester, in Virginia, and was discharged, having served three months. Soon after (he thinks in 1782) he enlisted in the regular army, for the term of three years; was placed under the command of Captain Fitzpatrick and Captain Johnson, and attached to a regiment commanded by Colonel Haws; that he was stationed on James river, forty-five miles above Richmond, was permitted to return to his home on furlough, and was not again called into service.

Peter Knight testifies that he was well acquainted with John Lathram in the county of Stafford, in the State of Virginia, during the revolutionary war; that the said Lathram was a soldier in Captain Ballard's company, was at the battle at Yorktown, and that he served three months. He further states that, soon after his return home, he (Lathram) enlisted in the regular army for three years; that he (deponent) was present when he was notified to join the regiment.

William Knight testifies to the same, in substance, as regards his (Lathram's) enlistment in the regular army. The precise length of time that the petitioner remained in service does not appear; but the committee are satisfied that it must have been six months or more, and therefore report a

· JOHN LATHRAM!

William Knight testifies to the same, in substance, as regards his (Lathram's) colistment in the regular army. The precise length of time that the petitioner remained in service does not appear; but the committee are estisfied that it must have been six months or more, and therefore report a